The boxes marked \* are mandatory. Representations missing this information will be automatically refused

## **Other Persons**

*Name/ Name of business/organisation you represent	DEREK WATSON BROADLEY
*Postal address	
Telephone number	
Email address  This is the most reliable way for us to communicate with you, please provide an email address if you have one	
*Name & address of premises for which the representation is being made	
Kurxon CASTRE	
*Your representation must relate to one or more of the following four licensing objectives. Please detail the evidence supporting your representation or the reason for your representation. Please use separate sheets if necessary.	
THE PREVENTION OF CRIME AND DISORDER	
PUBLIC SAFETY	
THE DREVENTION OF BURLEY	
THE PREVENTION OF PUBLIC	NUISANCE
SER ATTACHLED LETTER	
THE PROTECTION OF CHILDREN FROM HARM	
	l l

Suggested conditions that could be added to the licence to remedy your representation or other suggestions you would like the Licensing Sub Committee to take into account. Please use separate sheets where necessary.

MAXIMOM OF 4 EVENTS ANNUALY LIMITED TO 5,000 VISITORS ETCH

- Generally, if there is to be a hearing to determine the premises licence application, the Licensing Sub Committee will only be able to consider matters that have been previously disclosed. No new evidence can be introduced at the hearing. It is therefore imperative that you detail all matters that you wish to be considered on this initial representation. Please attach additional sheet if necessary.
- If you make a representation, you will be expected to attend the Licensing Sub Committee hearing
  and any subsequent appeal process. All representations in their entirety, including your name,
  address and contact details will be disclosed to the applicant for the premises licence and their
  agent.
- If limited or withheld personal details are redacted from representations, where notice of a hearing is
  given to an applicant, the licensing authority is required under the Licensing Act 2003 (Hearings)
  Regulations 2005 to provide the applicant with copies of the relevant representations that have been
  made.

I/We fully understand that this representation will be made available to the applicant and included in the Sub Committee's Hearing papers which are publicly accessible documents, and any subsequent appeal court proceedings.

Signed:

Date:

If this form is sent as an email attachment, its transmission will confirm that you have agreed the above conditions

Please return this form along with any additional sheets to:

licensing@shropshire.gov.uk or by post to: Licensing Team, Business & Consumer Protection Service, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, SY2 6ND

This form must be returned within the statutory consultation period, which is displayed on the premises site notice, the newspaper advert, and on the Council's website.

For confirmation on this date please contact the Licensing Team on 0345 678 9026

14th December 2023

Licensing Team
Business and Consumer Protection Service
Shirehall
Abbey Foregate
Shrewsbury
SY2 6ND

## Re: Licensing Application 23/03530/LPREM The Prevention of Public Nuisance

I strongly object to Licensing Application number 23/03530/LPREM, namely, a proposal for 8 live music events with alcohol;12 noon -11.00pm between May1st. to September 30th. in Ludlow Castle grounds.

One such event was held 20th. -23<sup>rd</sup> July 2023. This event stimulated numerous complaints about levels of noise, rowdy behaviour, the impact of road closures on residents including vulnerable and elderly people, grossly congested parking, and difficulty in residents accessing their homes. There were numerous reports of antisocial behaviour around the town particularly in the evenings. Ludlow is a small town and for the projected 5,000 to 9,999 people to potentially visit each of 8 events over the time period requested only invites yet further trouble, disorder and disruption and would generate grave public nuisance. The event in July 2023 nominally lasted 4 days but there was another 3 days of disruption and noise as huge lorries delivered and removed equipment and roads were closed.

What is proposed is a series of concerts in a residential area – the venue is not isolated in a field miles from any habitation. There are residents' houses all around the castle – the noise, disturbance and major disruption resulting from the implementation of this application would adversely the health and wellbeing of all residents and would be a major public nuisance.

This is yet another attempt to turn Ludlow into a money generating venue at the expense of the wellbeing of its central population. The applicants care nothing about Ludlow and the company, "Future Sounds", have nothing to do with the town and care only about the contribution which any Ludlow based concerts will contribute to their profits. It is the residents of central Ludlow, who pay so much to restore and maintain the over 420 listed properties, that makes Ludlow such an attractive town, that this cynical and grasping application seeks to exploit.

I vehemently object to this proposal on the grounds that, if implemented, it would create a number of totally unbearable public nuisances for residents, particularly, but not exclusively, in the central conservation area of Ludlow. Ludlow is a thriving town maintained by local businesses and residents, and already enjoys an ample and sufficient range of fairs, festivals and events. It is NOT a theme park. The distress to residents in the area affected if this outrageous application is approved can in no way be justified by the selfish monetary gain of Powys Estates and the Leeds based organisation Future Sounds Ltd.

We understand that there is tacit agreement between Shropshire County Council, (SCC), and Future Sounds Ltd. for 8 events. This is disgraceful. We also understand that there is currently an actual approval for 4 events. That is the absolute maximum which must be permitted. If indeed SCC is debating 8 events, we require a public hearing in order to ensure that this appalling infringement on our community is overturned.

Please advise by return when the requested hearing can be held, and confirm your satisfactory receipt of this objection and request for a public hearing.

Yours Faithfully

Derek W. Broadley